School of Computer Science & Engineering — UNSW

http://www.cse.unsw.edu.au/

ARW 2001

The Development of a Toolkit to Support the Probabilistic B Method

Thai Son Hoang

7th June 2002



• A brief introduction to \boldsymbol{B} and $\boldsymbol{GSL}.$

- A brief introduction to ${\bm B}$ and ${\bm G}{\bm S}{\bm L}.$
- Introduction to **pGSL**.

- \bullet A brief introduction to \boldsymbol{B} and $\boldsymbol{GSL}.$
- Introduction to pGSL.
- New **pB** construct .

- A brief introduction to \boldsymbol{B} and $\boldsymbol{GSL}.$
- Introduction to **pGSL**.
- New **pB** construct .
- Example of probabilistic Number.

- A brief introduction to \boldsymbol{B} and $\boldsymbol{GSL}.$
- Introduction to **pGSL**.
- New **pB** construct .
- Example of probabilistic Number.
- Conversion of **B-Toolkit**.

- A brief introduction to \boldsymbol{B} and $\boldsymbol{GSL}.$
- Introduction to **pGSL**.
- New **pB** construct .
- Example of probabilistic Number.
- Conversion of **B-Toolkit**.
- Conclusion.

Introduction to B and GSL

Introduction to B and GSL

• **B-Method** is a systematic development of large software systems from reusable fragments.

Introduction to B and GSL

- **B-Method** is a systematic development of large software systems from reusable fragments.
- B-Toolkit is built to illustrate all the aspects of B-Method.

- B-Method based on $Generalized\ Substitution\ Language\ (GSL)$ by Abrial.

- B-Method based on $Generalized\ Substitution\ Language\ (GSL)$ by Abrial.
 - $[x := E]R \equiv$ The predicate obtained after replacing all free occurrence of x in R by E.
 - $[P \mid G]R \equiv P\&[G]R$
 - $[P \Longrightarrow G] \equiv P \Rightarrow [G]R$
 - $[skip]R \equiv R$
 - $G \parallel H \equiv$ apply the substitutions G and H concurrently.
 - $[G; H]R \equiv [G]([H]R)$
 - $[G]H]R \equiv [G]R\&[H]R$

 The developer write programs (machines) using Abstract Machine Notation (AMN) into the B-Toolkit.

- The developer write programs (machines) using Abstract Machine Notation (AMN) into the B-Toolkit.
- Simple machine written in **AMN**:

VARIABLES xx, yy

 The developer write programs (machines) using Abstract Machine Notation (AMN) into the B-Toolkit.

Simple machine written in **AMN**:

VARIABLES xx, yy INVARIANT xx : NAT & yy : NAT

 The developer write programs (machines) using Abstract Machine Notation (AMN) into the B-Toolkit.

Simple machine written in **AMN**:

VARIABLES xx, yy INVARIANT xx : NAT & yy : NAT INITIALISATION xx, yy := 0, 0

 The developer write programs (machines) using Abstract Machine Notation (AMN) into the B-Toolkit.

Simple machine written in **AMN**:

VARIABLES xx, yy INVARIANT xx : NAT & yy : NAT INITIALISATION xx, yy := 0, 0 OPERATIONS

 The developer write programs (machines) using Abstract Machine Notation (AMN) into the B-Toolkit.

Simple machine written in **AMN**:

VARIABLES xx, yy INVARIANT xx : NAT & yy : NAT INITIALISATION xx, yy := 0, 0 OPERATIONS

Increase =

BEGIN

```
xx := xx + 1 ||
yy := yy + 1
```

test

END

END

• pGSL is the extension of GSL.

- pGSL is the extension of GSL.
- The differences between **GSL** and **pGSL**: is that predicates (functions from state to Boolean) have been widened to functions from state to number.

- pGSL is the extension of GSL.
- The differences between **GSL** and **pGSL**: is that predicates (functions from state to Boolean) have been widened to functions from state to number.
- For consistency with Boolean logic, false → 0, true → 1. In other words, it acts over 'expectations' rather than predicates.

- pGSL is the extension of GSL.
- The differences between **GSL** and **pGSL**: is that predicates (functions from state to Boolean) have been widened to functions from state to number.
- For consistency with Boolean logic, false → 0, true → 1. In other words, it acts over 'expectations' rather than predicates.
- Notationally, we have kept the predicate syntax as much as possiple.

- pGSL is the extension of GSL.
- The differences between **GSL** and **pGSL**: is that predicates (functions from state to Boolean) have been widened to functions from state to number.
- For consistency with Boolean logic, false → 0, true → 1. In other words, it acts over 'expectations' rather than predicates.
- Notationally, we have kept the predicate syntax as much as possiple.
- Example of an expression in **pGSL**:

 $(yy+1 \in \mathbb{N} \land expectation((yy+1)-2 \times xx)) \times frac(1,2)$

New pB construct

New pB construct

• **pGSL**: Probabilistic choice substitution $S_p \oplus T$.

New pB construct

- **pGSL**: Probabilistic choice substitution $S_p \oplus T$.
- pAMN:

```
PCHOICE p OF
S
OR
T
END
```

A machine that has two counting devices on it. The machine has one operation namely Increase. When invoking this operation

A machine that has two counting devices on it. The machine has one operation namely Increase. When invoking this operation

• the first device increases its value probabilistically. Half of the time, it increases the value by 1. The other half of the time, it keeps the value the same.

A machine that has two counting devices on it. The machine has one operation namely Increase. When invoking this operation

- the first device increases its value probabilistically. Half of the time, it increases the value by 1. The other half of the time, it keeps the value the same.
- the second device increases its value deterministically by 1.

A machine that has two counting devices on it. The machine has one operation namely Increase. When invoking this operation

- the first device increases its value probabilistically. Half of the time, it increases the value by 1. The other half of the time, it keeps the value the same.
- the second device increases its value deterministically by 1.

And we expect that the value on the second device is always twice the value on the first device.

Example of probabilistic Number (Cont.)

Using **pAMN**, below is the Increase operation:

Increase = **BEGIN** PCHOICE 1/2 OF xx := xx + 1OR skip END | yy := yy + 1END

Example of probabilistic Number (Cont.)

Specification of probabilistic Number is shown below (in **PAMN** notation).

MACHINE pNumber
SEES Real_TYPE, Bool_TYPE
VARIABLES xx, yy
INVARIANT
xx : NAT & yy : NAT &
expectation(yy - 2 * xx)
INITIALISATION
xx, yy := 0, 0

PERATIONS Increase =	
BEGIN	
	PCHOICE 1/2 OF
	xx := xx + 1
	OR
	skip
	END
yy := yy + 1	
END	
ND	

-

F

-

Proof obligations generator

Proof obligations generator

The rules are:

• The initialisation needs to establish the invariant on the assumption of the context of the machine.

Proof obligations generator

The rules are:

- The initialisation needs to establish the invariant on the assumption of the context of the machine.
- The operations need to maintain the invariant.

Proof obligation for initialisation

Proof obligation for initialisation

Proving by using pB's rules:

 $[xx, yy := 0, 0]xx \in \mathbb{N} \land yy \in \mathbb{N} \land expectation(yy - 2 \times xx)$ = 0 \in \mathbb{N} \lambda 0 \in \mathbb{N} \lambda expectation(0)

Proof obligation for initialisation

Proving by using pB's rules:

 $[xx, yy := 0, 0]xx \in \mathbb{N} \land yy \in \mathbb{N} \land expectation(yy - 2 \times xx)$ $\equiv 0 \in \mathbb{N} \land 0 \in \mathbb{N} \land expectation(0)$

We need to have precondition in the initialisation.

PRE expectation(0)
THEN
 xx, yy := 0, 0
END

Proof obligation for Increase operation

Proof obligation for Increase operation

• Rule for probabilistic choice substitution.

 $[S_p \oplus T]R \equiv p \times [S]R + (1-p) \times [T]R$

Proof obligation for Increase operation

• Rule for probabilistic choice substitution.

 $[S_p \oplus T]R \equiv p \times [S]R + (1-p) \times [T]R$

• Arithmetic with Real number.

• Internal structure of the **B-Toolkit**

- Internal structure of the **B-Toolkit**
 - 1. Motif interface.

- Internal structure of the **B-Toolkit**
 - 1. Motif interface.
 - 2. Theories driven processes.

- Internal structure of the **B-Toolkit**
 - 1. Motif interface.
 - 2. Theories driven processes.
- What to do
 - Analyzer.

- Internal structure of the **B-Toolkit**
 - 1. Motif interface.
 - 2. Theories driven processes.
- What to do
 - Analyzer.
 - PO generator.

- Internal structure of the **B-Toolkit**
 - 1. Motif interface.
 - 2. Theories driven processes.
- What to do
 - Analyzer.
 - PO generator.
 - Prover.



Case studies

• Random algorithms.

Case studies

- Random algorithms.
- Uncertainties in Networking

• The introduction of **pGSL** helps the programmers handle probabilistic properties of software formally.

- The introduction of **pGSL** helps the programmers handle probabilistic properties of software formally.
- The new **Toolkit** will assist in developing and maintaining software with probabilistic properties.

- The introduction of **pGSL** helps the programmers handle probabilistic properties of software formally.
- The new **Toolkit** will assist in developing and maintaining software with probabilistic properties.
- Further more, in the future, the **Toolkit** can be upgraded to support other properties of software development.