Event-B Decomposition for Parallel Programs

Thai Son Hoang and Jean-Raymond Abrial

Department of Computer Science Swiss Federal Institute of Technology Zürich (ETH Zürich)

> ABZ2010, 22nd-25th February, 2010 Orford, Québec, Canada



idgenössische Technische Hochschule Zürich wiss Federal Institute of Technology Zurich

Motivation

- Parallel programs.
- Event-B for discrete transition systems.
- Formal reasoning about parallel programs.
 - Work on "interference-free" (by S. Owicki and D. Gries).
 - Work on Rely/Guarantee (by C. Jones)
 - Conjoining specifications (M. Abadi and L. Lamport)
 - Parallel programs with Action Systems (R-J. Back and K. Sere)
 - etc.



enëssische Technische Hochschule Zürle

Outline



2 Example. The "FindP" Program

3 Decomposition

4 Formal Development

- Step 1. The Specification
- Step 2. Introducing the Shared Variables
- Step 3. Decomposition
- Step 4. Further Refinements
- Proof Statistics





The FindP Program. Overview

Purpose of the FindP Program

Finding the first index k of a boolean array ARRAY, if there is one, such that ARRAY(k) = T. Otherwise, return M + 1.

- The program use two parallel processes to check two parts *PART1* and *PART2* of the array separately.
- Each process publishes the first index that it finds.



FindP. First Animation



FindP. First Animation



FindP. First Animation



T.S. Hoang and J-R. Abrial (ETH-Zürich) Event-B Decomp. for Parallel Prog.

FindP. First Animation



T.S. Hoang and J-R. Abrial (ETH-Zürich) Event-B Decomp. for Parallel Prog.

FindP. First Animation





FindP. First Animation





E I III Eidgenössische Technische Hochschule Zürich Swiss Federal Institute of Technology Zurich

FindP. Second Animation



T.S. Hoang and J-R. Abrial (ETH-Zürich) Event-B Decomp. for Parallel Prog.

FindP. Second Animation



T.S. Hoang and J-R. Abrial (ETH-Zürich) Event-B Decomp. for Parallel Prog.

FindP. Second Animation



FindP. Second Animation



FindP. Second Animation





T.S. Hoang and J-R. Abrial (ETH-Zürich) Event-B Decomp. for Parallel Prog.

FindP. Second Animation





FindP with Parallel Processes

Main programs

index1, index2 := min(PART1), min(PART2); publish1, publish2 := M + 1, M + 1; process1 || process2; $k := min({publish1, publish2})$

Process: process1

```
while index1 < min({publish1, publish2}) do
  if ARRAY(index1) = T then
     publish1 := index1
     else
        index1 := the-next-index-in-PART1
     end
end</pre>
```

.ne Hochschule Zürich Swiss Federal Institute of Technology Zurich

FindP with Parallel Processes

Main programs

index1, index2 := min(PART1), min(PART2); publish1, publish2 := M + 1, M + 1; process1 || process2; $k := min({publish1, publish2})$

Process: process1

while index1 < min({publish1, publish2}) do
 if ARRAY(index1) = T then
 publish1 := index1
 else
 index1 := the-next-index-in-PART1
 end
end</pre>

.ne Hochschule Zürich Swiss Federal Institute of Technology Zurich

A Detour. Atomicity Assumptions

- Shared variables: written by one process, read by the other process.
- Local variables: written and read by only one process.
- Statements involving only local tests and actions can be performed concurrently.
- Elementary atomic action:

```
local_variable := shared_variable .
```

• Extended atomic action:





Unfolding **process1** (1/2)

Original process1

while index1 < min({publish1, publish2}) do
 if ARRAY(index1) = T then
 publish1 := index1
 else
 index1 := the-next-index-in-PART1
 end
end</pre>



Unfolding process1 (2/2)

Original process1	
	<pre>while index1 < min({publish1, publish2}) do if ARRAY(index1) = T then publish1 := index1 else index1 := the-next-index-in-PART1 end end</pre>
Unfold process1	
1 : (read) 2 : (found)	<pre>read1 := publish2; if index1 < min({publish1, read1}) then if ARRAY(index1) = T then publish1 := index1 ; goto 3(end); else</pre>
(inc)	<pre>index1 := the-next-index-in-PART1 ; goto 1(read); end else</pre>
(not_found) 3 : (end)	goto 3(end) end

Decomposition. An Overview





ETH Eidgenössische Technische Hochschule Zürich Swiss Federal Institute of Technology Zurich

11 / 32

Decomposition. An Overview





ETH Eidgenössische Technische Hochschule Zürich Swiss Federal Institute of Technology Zurich

11 / 32

Decomposition. An Overview





ETH Eidgenössische Technische Hochschule Zürich Swiss Federal Institute af Technology Zurich

Shared Variables Decomposition in Event-B

- Sub-models share variables.
- The set of internal events of sub-models are disjoint.
- Each models having a set of external events to model the effect of these events on shared variables.



An Example (1/2)

- Assume model M has the following events: $e_1(a), e_2(a,c), e_3(b,c), e_4(b).$
- Events partition (chosen by the developer):
 - M₁: e₁, e₂.
 - M₂: e₃, e₄.
- Variables distribution (derived from events partition):
 - M₁: Private variable *a*, shared variable *c*.
 - M₂: Private variable *b*, shared variable *c*.
- Result:
 - M_1 : Internal events $e_1(a)$, $e_2(a, c)$, external event $(ext_)e_3(c)$.
 - M_2 : Internal events $e_3(b, c)$, $e_4(c)$, external event $(ext_e_2(c))$.



nëssische Technische Hochschule Zürle

An Example (2/2)



Constructing External Events

Informally ...

$(ext_)e_2$ is the projection of e_2 on the state containing only external variables *c*.



Our Approach

A FORMAL approach combining refinement and decomposition

- Specify *in-one-shot* to give the purpose of the program.
- ② Refine the above specification by introducing the shared variables.
- Oecompose the model in the previous step according to processes.
- Oevelop each sub-model from the previous step independently.

Key aspects

- Step 2: Derive the specification of future processes from the intended final result of the program.
- Step 4: Develop a process with the abstraction of other processes.



• Step 4: Refinement allows us to have different implementations.

Eigenössische Technische Hochschule Zürie Swiss Federal Institute of Technology Zurich

Our Approach

A FORMAL approach combining refinement and decomposition

- Specify *in-one-shot* to give the purpose of the program.
- Provide the above specification by introducing the shared variables.
- Decompose the model in the previous step according to processes.
- Develop each sub-model from the previous step independently.

Key aspects

- Step 2: Derive the specification of future processes from the intended final result of the program.
- Step 4: Develop a process with the abstraction of other processes.



• Step 4: Refinement allows us to have different implementations.

Eigenössische Technische Hochschule Zürie Swiss Federal Institute of Technology Zurich

Our Approach

A FORMAL approach combining refinement and decomposition

- Specify *in-one-shot* to give the purpose of the program.
- Provide the above specification by introducing the shared variables.
- Observation Decompose the model in the previous step according to processes.
- Develop each sub-model from the previous step independently.

Key aspects

- Step 2: Derive the specification of future processes from the intended final result of the program.
- Step 4: Develop a process with the abstraction of other processes.



• Step 4: Refinement allows us to have different implementations.

E 8 8 8 8 Eidgenössische Technische Hochschule Zürich Swiss Federal Institute of Technology Zurich

Our Approach

A FORMAL approach combining refinement and decomposition

- Specify *in-one-shot* to give the purpose of the program.
- Provide the above specification by introducing the shared variables.
- Observation Decompose the model in the previous step according to processes.
- **Overlap** each sub-model from the previous step independently.

Key aspects

- Step 2: Derive the specification of future processes from the intended final result of the program.
- Step 4: Develop a process with the abstraction of other processes.



• Step 4: Refinement allows us to have different implementations.

E 8 8 8 8 Eidgenössische Technische Hochschule Zürich Swiss Federal Institute of Technology Zurich

16 / 32

ABZ2010, 22-25/02/10

Our Approach

A FORMAL approach combining refinement and decomposition

- Specify *in-one-shot* to give the purpose of the program.
- Prefine the above specification by introducing the shared variables.
- Observation Decompose the model in the previous step according to processes.
- **Overlap** each sub-model from the previous step independently.

Key aspects

- Step 2: Derive the specification of future processes from the intended final result of the program.
- Step 4: Develop a process with the abstraction of other processes.



• Step 4: Refinement allows us to have different implementations.

Ein & # # # Eidgenössische Technische Hochschule Zürich Swiss Federal Institute of Technology Zurich
 Motivation
 Step 1. The Specification

 Example. The "FindP" Program Decomposition
 Step 2. Introducing the Shared Variables

 Formal Development Conclusions
 Step 4. Further Refinements

The Context



Step 1. The Specification Step 2. Introducing the Shared Variables Step 3. Decomposition

Step 4. Further Refinements

Proof Statistics

Step 1. The One-shot Specification



T.S. Hoang and J-R. Abrial (ETH-Zürich)

Step 1. The Specification Step 2. Introducing the Shared Variables Step 3. Decomposition Step 4. Further Refinements Proof Statistics

Step 2. Introducing the Shared Variables (1/5)

The published values of two processes

 $\textbf{variables:} \quad \dots, \textit{finish1}, \textit{finish2}, \textit{publish1}, \textit{publish2}$





ETH Eidaenässische Technische Hochschwie Zürich

19 / 32

Step 1. The Specification Step 2. Introducing the Shared Variables Step 3. Decomposition Step 4. Further Refinements Proof Statistics

<u>Step 2. Introducing the Shared Variables (2/5)</u>

Refinement of the final event

(abs)final any k where $k \in 1 \dots M + 1$ $\forall j \cdot j \in 1 \dots k - 1 \Rightarrow ARRAY(j) = F$ $k \neq M + 1 \Rightarrow ARRAY(k) = T$ then result := kend

(conc)final when finish1 = Tfinish2 = Twith $k = min(\{publish1, publish2\}\}$ then $result := min(\{publish1, publish2\})$ end

Step 1. The Specification Step 2. Introducing the Shared Variables Step 3. Decomposition Step 4. Further Refinements Proof Statistics

Step 2. Introducing the Shared Variables (3/5)

The invariants

invariants:





genässische Technische Hochschule Zürle

Step 1. The Specification Step 2. Introducing the Shared Variables Step 3. Decomposition Step 4. Further Refinements Proof Statistics

Step 2. Introducing the Shared Variables (4/5)

found_1 event

invariants:

```
\begin{array}{l} \textit{publish1} \neq \textit{M} + 1 \Rightarrow \textit{publish1} \in \textit{PART1} \\ \textit{publish1} \neq \textit{M} + 1 \Rightarrow \textit{ARRAY(publish1)} = \textit{T} \\ \textit{publish1} \neq \textit{M} + 1 \Rightarrow \\ (\forall i \cdot i \in \textit{PART1} \land i < \textit{publish1} \Rightarrow \textit{ARRAY(i)} = \textit{F}) \end{array}
```



Step 1. The Specification Step 2. Introducing the Shared Variables Step 3. Decomposition Step 4. Further Refinements Proof Statistics

Step 2. Introducing the Shared Variables (5/5)

not_found_1 event

invariants:

 $\begin{aligned} & \text{finish1} = T \land \text{publish1} = M + 1 \Rightarrow \\ & (\forall i \cdot i \in \text{PART1} \land i < \text{publish2} \Rightarrow \text{ARRAY}(i) = F) \end{aligned}$



Eidgenössische Technische Hochschule Zürich Swiss Federal Institute of Technology Zurich

23 / 32

Step 1. The Specification Step 2. Introducing the Shared Variables Step 3. Decomposition Step 4. Further Refinements Proof Statistics

Step 3. Decomposition

Event partition

main: final

process1: not_found_1 and found_1.

process2: not_found_2 and found_2.



Step 1. The Specification Step 2. Introducing the Shared Variables Step 3. Decomposition Step 4. Further Refinements **Proof Statistics**

Step 4. Further Refinements (1/2)

Constraints during refinement

- Shared variables cannot be removed.
- External events cannot be changed.
- External events must preserve the newly introduced invariants.

Superposition refinements strategy

- 1st Ref.: Introducing the local index of the array.
- 2nd Ref.: Introducing the read value.



3rd Ref.: Introducing the address counter for sequencing the events.

Eidgenössische Technische Hochschule Zürle Swiss Federal Institute of Technology Zurich

25 / 32

Step 1. The Specification Step 2. Introducing the Shared Variables Step 3. Decomposition Step 4. Further Refinements Proof Statistics

Step 4. Further Refinements (2/2)

Final events of process1

read1	

when

address1 = 1

then

address1, read1 := 2, publish2 end

 $\begin{array}{l} \texttt{not}_\texttt{found}_1\\ \texttt{when}\\ \texttt{address1}=2\\ \neg(\texttt{index1}<\texttt{min}(\{\texttt{publish1},\texttt{read1}\}))\\ \texttt{then}\\ \texttt{address1},\texttt{finish1}:=3, T \end{array}$

end

```
found_1
  when
    address1 = 2
    index1 < min({publish1, read1})
    ARRAY(index1) = T
  then
    address1 := 3
    finish1 := T
    publish1 := index1
  end</pre>
```

T.S. Hoang and J-R. Abrial (ETH-Zürich) Event-B Decomp. for Parallel Prog.

 Motivation
 Step 1. The Specification

 Example. The "FindP" Program Decomposition
 Step 2. Introducing the Shared Variables

 Formal Development Conclusions
 Step 3. Decomposition

 Step 4. Further Refinements
 Proof Statistics

Proof Statistics

Proof Statistics

Developing using the RODIN Platform with decomposition plug-in.

Model	Total	Auto.(%)	Manual (%)
Initial context	0	0 (N/A)	0 (N/A)
Initial model	3	3 (100%)	0 (0%)
First extended context	0	0 (N/A)	0 (N/A)
First refinement	46	44 (96%)	2 (4%)
First sub-refinement	14	10 (71%)	4 (29%)
Second sub-refinement	6	5 (83%)	1 (17%)
Third sub-refinement	22	16 (73%)	6 (27%)
Total	91	78 (86%)	13 (14%)



Conclusions and Future Work

- Decomposition allows us to reduce the complexity in developing parallel programs.
- The interactions between processes are introduced early in the development.
- Apply the method to other standard parallel programs.



For Further Reading I



J-R. Abrial.

Event model decomposition,. ETH Zurich Tech. Rep., 2009.



C. Jones.

Splitting atoms safely,. Theor. Comput. Sci., 2007.







29 / 32

Interference-free

• Notion "Interference-free" from Owicki-Gries.

Consider a proof of $\{P\}S\{Q\}$ and a statement T with precondition pre(T), T does not interfere with $\{P\}S\{Q\}$ if

 $Inf1 \{Q \land pre(T)\}T\{Q\}.$

Inf2 Let S' be any statement within S, then $\{pre(S') \land pre(T)\}T\{pre(S')\}$

• Compare to our work:

- *S* is an internal event of process1.
- T is an external event of process1.
- The condition Inf1 is proved at the level before decomposing.
- S' is introduced during the refinement of S.
- pre(S') are the invariants introduced during refinement.
- The condition Inf2 is proved during refinement:

external event preserves invariants.

• Advantage of our approach: *T* is at the abstract level.

nëssische Technische Hochschule Zürle

Interference-free

• Notion "Interference-free" from Owicki-Gries.

Consider a proof of $\{P\}S\{Q\}$ and a statement T with precondition pre(T), T does not interfere with $\{P\}S\{Q\}$ if

 $Inf1 \{Q \land pre(T)\}T\{Q\}.$

Inf2 Let S' be any statement within S, then $\{pre(S') \land pre(T)\}T\{pre(S')\}$

- Compare to our work:
 - S is an internal event of process1.
 - T is an external event of process1.
 - The condition Inf1 is proved at the level before decomposing.
 - S' is introduced during the refinement of S.
 - pre(S') are the invariants introduced during refinement.
 - The condition Inf2 is proved during refinement:

external event preserves invariants.

• Advantage of our approach: *T* is at the abstract level.



Rely/Guarantee (1/2)

- Rely/Guarantee method from Jones.
 - Extending the Hoare's triple to include the Rely/Guarantee conditions *R* and *G*, i.e. {*P*, *R*}*S*{*G*, *Q*}.
 - An example rule for parallel composition

$$\mathsf{PAR-I} \quad \begin{array}{c} R \lor G_{1} \Rightarrow R_{2} \\ R \lor G_{2} \Rightarrow R_{1} \\ G_{1} \lor G_{2} \Rightarrow G \\ \{P, R_{1}\}S_{1}\{G_{1}, Q_{1}\} \\ \{P, R_{2}\}S_{2}\{G_{2}, Q_{2}\} \\ \hline \{P, R\}S_{1} ||S_{2}\{G, Q_{1} \land Q_{2}\} \end{array}$$



31 / 32

Rely/Guarantee (2/2)

- The rely/guarantee condition are relations over the two states.
- A pair of external/internal events
 - External event: Rely condition.
 - Internal event: Guarantee condition.
- \Rightarrow relation of rely/guarantee conditions becomes event refinement.
- The generated pair of external/internal events satisfies the rules for parallel composition.
- However, this generated external events might be too "concrete".
- In the FindP example, the external events just need to guarantee to decrease the published value monotonically.



• User-defined external events?